

Please forget about the b**cks of accelerated expansion!**

The Hubble distance grows at the very *speed of light*, which, in agreement with all we *know*, is a *universal constant*,
FULL STOP.

Isaacus Newtonus:

PHILOSOPHIÆ NATURALIS PRINCIPIA MATHEMATICA;
LIBER TERTIUS : DE MUNDI SYSTEMATE;
REGULÆ PHILOSOPHANDI; REGULA I.

*Causas rerum naturalium non plures admitti debere, quam quæ
& veræ sint & earum phænomenis explicandis sufficient.*

*No more causes of natural things should be allowed than those
that are AND **true** AND sufficient to explain their appearances.*

Henkus Reintsus:

Only an *already known truth* can be designated as a plausible cause of an observed phenomenon.

Perlmutter et al., Riess et al. & Schmidt et al.
discovered a discrepancy in redshift vs. magnitude of distant Type Ia supernovæ.

Accelerated expansion was not discovered.

It's a *thought-up* concept, or was it an *already known truth*?
Then it's still not a discovery. Isn't it a bit silly to discover something already known?

Dark energy was not discovered.

It's a *thought-up* concept, or was it an *already known truth*?
Then it's still not a discovery. Isn't it a bit silly to discover something already known?

*You can discover a fact, a thing,
or a regularity in their multitude,
but you cannot discover a concoction.*

One should not ever assign something thought up as the cause of an observed phenomenon, DAMN!

Not being able to think up something better probes not more than your own ignorance stupidity.